

The *less than* relation

Partial and total orders

Prof Hans Georg Schaathun

Høgskolen i Ålesund

Autumn 2013 – Session 1/5 (1)

The *less than* relation

- The most well-known and most used relations



- Many relations have similar properties

Ordering

- $<$ defines a sort order
- If $<$ is a relation on a set S we can define sorted lists from S .

Definition

A list $[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ is sorted if and only if $x_i < x_j$ implies that $i < j$.

- Obviously $>$ has exactly the same properties.
- and \geq, \leq have similar properties.

We will look at other relations which provide an ordering.

Are there other *smaller than* relations?

- 1 neighbour of
- 2 set equivalence
- 3 subset of

Are any of these smaller than relations?

Symmetry and antisymmetry

- View the sorted list
 - $[x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots, x_n]$
- *neighbour of* is symmetric
 - i.e. if $x_i \sim x_j$ then $x_j \sim x_i$
 - x_i should be both before and after x_j
- *set equivalence* is also symmetric
 - same problem
- *subset of* is not symmetric
 - it is in fact **anti-symmetric**
 - if $x_i \subset x_j$ then $x_j \not\subset x_i$ (except if $x_i = x_j$)

Definition

Anti-symmetry

Definition

A relation R is **anti-symmetric** if xRy and yRx implies that $x = y$.

Transitivity

- View again the sorted list
 - $[x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots, x_n]$
- Suppose $x_i < x_j$ and $x_j < x_k$ ($i < j < k$)
 - what would you say about x_i in relation to x_k ?
- We **cannot** have $x_k < x_i$, lest the list be unsorted
- We would expect that $x_i < x_k$, i.e. **transitivity**

The subset relation \subset is transitive.

Transitivity

- View again the sorted list
 - $[x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots, x_n]$
- Suppose $x_i < x_j$ and $x_j < x_k$ ($i < j < k$)
 - what would you say about x_i in relation to x_k ?
- We **cannot** have $x_k < x_i$, lest the list be unsorted
- We would expect that $x_i < x_k$, i.e. **transitivity**

The subset relation \subset is transitive.

To be or not to be ... equal

- *Smaller than* relations come in two variants
 - Non-reflexive: $<$
 - Reflexive: \leq
- Question is, do you include (x, x) in the relation?
- Same for the subset relation
 - \subsetneq versus \subset
 - or \subset versus \subseteq
- Each is well-defined in terms of the other

Partial ordered set

Definition (Partial order)

A **partial order** is a relation \prec which is reflexive, transitive, and anti-symmetric.

- Note that elements may be **incomparable**
 - Neither $x \prec y$ nor $y \prec x$
- A partial order defines a sort order
 - but the sorted list may not be unique

Definition

A **partially ordered set** (or **poset**) S is a set with some partial order \prec .

Totally ordered set

Definition

A **total order** is a partial order \prec where either $x \prec y$ or $y \prec x$ for any pair (x, y) .

- That is, every pair of elements is comparable
- A total order defines a unique sort order for any set

Definition

A **totally ordered set** S is a set with some total order \prec .

Exercise

- 1 Consider the set of people, and the relation *is an ancestor of*, where a person is considered to be one of his own ancestors.
 - Is this relation a partial order?
 - Is it a total order?
- 2 What about the relation *is a parent of*?
- 3 Give reasons for your answers.