

An example

If you do every exercise in the module, then you pass the exam.

$s :=$ you do every exercise in the module, (1)

$t :=$ you pass the exam (2)

$s \Rightarrow t$ (3)

Induction

If you do every exercise in the module, then you pass the exam.

- 1 Now, suppose you pass the exam
- 2 Therefore, you have done all the exercise.

Is this argument valid?

Induction

False arguments

- We know $s \Rightarrow t$ and t
- We try to conclude s
- This is called induction

*This is **the opposite of** modus ponens, which would assume s and prove t .*

False generalisation

Students who do all exercises are students who pass the exam.

- S is set of students who do all exercises
- T is set of students who pass the exam
- The premise is that $S \subset T$

Modus ponens observe $x \in S$ and conclude that $s \in T$
special case

Induction observe $x \in T$ and conclude that $s \in S$
Generalising from the subset S to the rest of T

Summary

From $p \Rightarrow q$ and q , we *can conclude nothing*.